UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/786,772 02/25/2004 Ross Bunker BEAS-01437US1 2871 02/01/2008 23910 7590 **EXAMINER** FLIESLER MEYER LLP PHAM, MICHAEL 650 CALIFORNIA STREET 14TH FLOOR ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108 2167 MAIL DATE **DELIVERY MODE**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

02/01/2008

PAPER

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Organization III DANIFIGATION
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
If Undeliverable Return in Ten Days

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

PECEIVED
FEB 0.4 2008
USPTO MAIL CENTER

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/786,772	BUNKER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael D. Pham	2167
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provision of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 N</u>		
	action is non-final.	secution as to the merits is
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E		
	,, pario 400/10/1000 0.0. 11/11	-
Disposition of Claims	to a to the expellentian	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-11,13-18,20 and 21</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav		
5) Claim(s) is/are withdraw	WIT HOTH CONSIGNATION.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4, 6-11, 13-18, and 20-21</u> is/are rej	iected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required it the drawing(s) is ob- caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
	diminor. Hoto the attached emoc	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119) (d) or (f)
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(u) OI (I).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO_413)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	-/	

10/786,772 Art Unit: 2167

Detailed Action

Status of claims

- 1. Claims 1-4, 6-11, 13-18, and 20-21 are pending.
- 2. Claims 5, 12, 19, and 22-28 have been cancelled.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 15-18 and 20-21, applicant does not provide antecedent basis for the claim terminology "machine readable storage medium" and "computer readable storage medium". However, does provide that a storage medium (media). See, applicant's specification 0069.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6-11, 13-18, and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 6874143 by Murray et. al. (hereafter Murray).

Claim 1:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"a user interface;" [col. 6 line 66, user interface. Accordingly, a user interface (user interface) is suggested]

10/786,772 Art Unit: 2167

"a plurality of services, wherein a service includes a public interface that has an implementation and provides access to functionality in an extension." [col. 7 lines 12-13, An EDF, implemented in XML, contains various tags that are associated with various extensions. Col. 7 lines 21-25, EDFs advantageously have an "open schema" which means that third party developers can extend the extension mechanism and include their own extensions by creating their own tags. Col. 7 line 27-29, exemplary predefined XML tags for user interface elements can include tags for feature types such as: tool bars, accelerators, menu items, and themes. Accordingly, a plurality of services (tags), wherein a service includes a public interface that has an implementation (open schema) and provides access to functionality in an extension (feature types such as tool bars, accelerators, menu items and themes) is suggested.]

"a plurality of extensions to extend an application;" [abstract, various extensions can be developed by third party developers for incorporation into the program or platform.

Accordingly, a plurality of extensions (various extensions) to extend an application (incorporation into the program or platform) is suggested.]

"wherein each one of the plurality of services is associated with an extension in the plurality of extensions;" [col. 7 lines 12-13, an edf, implemented in XML, contains various tags that are associated with various extensions. Accordingly, wherein each one of the plurality of services (tags) is associated with an extension (an edf, implemented in XML, contains various tags) in the plurality of extensions (various extensions) is suggested.]

"wherein one of the plurality of extensions exposes and consumes services associated with another extension in the plurality of extensions;"[col. 22 lines 45-46, receives all of the EDFs and merges them together and exposes them as a single list. Accordingly, wherein one of

10/786,772 Art Unit: 2167

the plurality of extensions exposes (exposes) and consumes services (merges) associated with another extension in the plurality of extensions (EDFs).]

"wherein one of the plurality of extensions provides functionality accessible in the user interface; and" [col. 6 lines 64-66, an EDF is an XML file that logically describes an extension. For example, the EDF can describe HTML that makes up a user interface. Accordingly, wherein one of the plurality of extensions (EDF) provides functionality accessible in the user interface (user interface) is suggested.]

"wherein one of the plurality of services provides access to functionality in one of the plurality of extensions." [col. 7 lines 11-21, an EDF, implemented in XML, contains various tags that are associated with various extensions. The various tags can correspond to: user interface elements, behaviour/component/objects, store elements, user-defined objects, or anything else that represents a point of extensibility in the application or platform. Accordingly, wherein one of the plurality of services (tags) provides access to functionality in one of the plurality of extensions (EDF).]

Claim 2:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"One of the plurality of extensions utilizes one of the plurality of services" [col. 7 lines 11-21].

Claim 3:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension is an interchangeable application building block" [col. 7 lines 25-26, extensions can themselves be extended by other developers].

10/786,772 Art Unit: 2167

Claim 4:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension includes an XML (extensible markup language) description" [col. 6 lines 64-66, EDFs] "and at least one of: 1) a set of classes; and 2) a set of resources" [col. 7 lines 63-64, classes and offline data sources.].

Claim 6:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension defines handlers" [col. 4 lines 17-19, the use of xml advantageously enables efficient handling of extensions from multiple different extension sources.].

Claim 7:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension provides functionality to support at least one of: 1) a document type; 2) a user interface action; 3) a file encoding; 4) property settings; and 5) debugging information" [col. 6 lines 65-66, For example the EDF can describe HTML that makes up a user interface.].

Claim 8:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"providing a user interface to allow user interaction with the application;" [col. 6 line 66, user interface. Accordingly, providing a user interface to allow user interaction with the application (user interface) is suggested]

"providing a plurality of extensions to extend the application," [abstract, various extensions can be developed by third party developers for incorporation into the program or platform. Accordingly, providing a plurality of extensions (various extensions) to extend an

10/786,772 Art Unit: 2167

application (incorporation into the program or platform) is suggested.] "wherein the providing permits one of the plurality of extensions to provide functionality accessible in the user interface; and" [col. 6 lines 64-66, an EDF is an XML file that logically describes an extension. For example, the EDF can describe HTML that makes up a user interface. Accordingly, wherein the providing permits one of the plurality of extensions (EDF) provides functionality accessible in the user interface (user interface) is suggested.]

"providing a plurality of services wherein the providing permits one of the plurality of services to provide access to functionality in one of the plurality of extensions;" [col. 7 lines 11-21, an EDF, implemented in XML, contains various tags that are associated with various extensions. The various tags can correspond to: user interface elements, behaviour/component/objects, store elements, user-defined objects, or anything else that represents a point of extensibility in the application or platform. Accordingly, providing a plurality of services wherein the providing permits one of the plurality of services (tags) to provide access to functionality in one of the plurality of extensions (EDF).]

"wherein a service includes a public interface that has an implementation and provides access to functionality in an extension;" [col. 7 lines 12-13, An EDF, implemented in XML, contains various tags that are associated with various extensions. Col. 7 lines 21-25, EDFs advantageously have an "open schema" which means that third party developers can extend the extension mechanism and include their own extensions by creating their own tags. Col. 7 line 27-29, exemplary predefined XML tags for user interface elements can include tags for feature types such as: tool bars, accelerators, menu items, and themes. Accordingly, wherein a service includes a public interface that has an implementation (open schema) and provides access to

10/786,772

Art Unit: 2167

functionality in an extension (feature types such as tool bars, accelerators, menu items and themes) is suggested.]

"wherein each one of the plurality of services is associated with an extension in the plurality of extensions; and" [col. 7 lines 12-13, an edf, implemented in XML, contains various tags that are associated with various extensions. Accordingly, wherein each one of the plurality of services (tags) is associated with an extension (an edf, implemented in XML, contains various tags) in the plurality of extensions (various extensions) is suggested.]

"wherein one of the plurality of extensions exposes and consumes services associated with another extension in the plurality of extensions." [col. 22 lines 45-46, receives all of the EDFs and merges them together and exposes them as a single list. Accordingly, wherein one of the plurality of extensions exposes (exposes) and consumes services (merges) associated with another extension in the plurality of extensions (EDFs).]

Claim 9:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"one of the plurality of extensions utilizes one of the plurality of services." [col. 7 lines 11-21].

Claim 10:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension is an interchangeable application building block." [col. 7 lines 25-26, extensions can themselves be extended by other developers].

Claim 11:

10/786,772 Art Unit: 2167

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension includes an XML (extensible markup language) description" [col. 6 lines 64-66, EDFs] "and at least one of: 1) a set of classes; and 2) a set of resources" [col. 7 lines 63-64, classes and offline data sources.].

Claim 13:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension defines handlers." [col. 4 lines 17-19, the use of xml advantageously enables efficient handling of extensions from multiple different extension sources.].

Claim 14:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension provides functionality to support at least one of: 1) a document type; 2) a user interface action; 3) a file encoding; 4) property settings; and 5) debugging information." [col. 6 lines 65-66, For example the EDF can describe HTML that makes up a user interface.].

Claim 15:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"provide a user interface to allow user interaction with an application;" [col. 6 line 66, user interface. Accordingly, provide a user interface to allow user interaction with the application (user interface) is suggested]

"provide a plurality of extensions to extend the application," [abstract, various extensions can be developed by third party developers for incorporation into the program or platform.

Accordingly, provide a plurality of extensions (various extensions) to extend an application

10/786,772 Art Unit: 2167

(incorporation into the program or platform) is suggested.] "wherein the providing permits one of the plurality of extensions to provide functionality accessible in the user interface; and" [col. 6 lines 64-66, an EDF is an XML file that logically describes an extension. For example, the EDF can describe HTML that makes up a user interface. Accordingly, wherein the providing permits one of the plurality of extensions (EDF) provides functionality accessible in the user interface (user interface) is suggested.]

"provide a plurality of services wherein the providing permits one of the plurality of services to provide access to functionality in one of the plurality of extensions;" [col. 7 lines 11-21, an EDF, implemented in XML, contains various tags that are associated with various extensions. The various tags can correspond to: user interface elements, behaviour/component/objects, store elements, user-defined objects, or anything else that represents a point of extensibility in the application or platform. Accordingly, provide a plurality of services (tags) wherein the providing permits one of the plurality of services (tags) to provide access to functionality in one of the plurality of extensions (EDF).]

"wherein a service includes a public interface that has an implementation and provides access to functionality in an extension;" [col. 7 lines 12-13, An EDF, implemented in XML, contains various tags that are associated with various extensions. Col. 7 lines 21-25, EDFs advantageously have an "open schema" which means that third party developers can extend the extension mechanism and include their own extensions by creating their own tags. Col. 7 line 27-29, exemplary predefined XML tags for user interface elements can include tags for feature types such as: tool bars, accelerators, menu items, and themes. Accordingly, wherein a service includes a public interface that has an implementation (open schema) and provides access to

10/786,772 Art Unit: 2167

functionality in an extension (feature types such as tool bars, accelerators, menu items and

themes) is suggested.]

"wherein each one of the plurality of services is associated with an extension in the

plurality of extensions; and" [col. 7 lines 12-13, an edf, implemented in XML, contains various

tags that are associated with various extensions. Accordingly, wherein each one of the plurality

of services (tags) is associated with an extension (an edf, implemented in XML, contains various

tags) in the plurality of extensions (various extensions) is suggested.]

"wherein one of the plurality of extensions exposes and consumes services associated

with another extension in the plurality of extensions." [col. 22 lines 45-46, receives all of the

EDFs and merges them together and exposes them as a single list. Accordingly, wherein one of

the plurality of extensions exposes (exposes) and consumes services (merges) associated with

another extension in the plurality of extensions (EDFs).]

Claim 16:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"one of the plurality of extensions utilizes one of the plurality of services." [col. 7 lines

11-21]

Claim 17:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension is an interchangeable application building block." [col. 7 lines 25-26,

extensions can themselves be extended by other developers]

Claim 18:

10/786,772 Art Unit: 2167

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension includes an XML (extensible markup language) description" [col. 6 lines 64-66, EDFs] "and at least one of: 1) a set of classes; and 2) a set of resources" [col. 7 lines 63-64, classes and offline data sources.].

Claim 20:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension defines handlers." [col. 4 lines 17-19, the use of xml advantageously enables efficient handling of extensions from multiple different extension sources.]

Claim 21:

Murray discloses the following claimed limitations:

"an extension provides functionality to support at least one of: 1) a document type; 2) a user interface action; 3) a file encoding; 4) property settings; and 5) debugging information." [col. 6 lines 65-66, For example the EDF can describe HTML that makes up a user interface.]

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6-11, 13-18, and 20-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- A. Applicant's mainly assert that "wherein a service includes a public interface that has an implementation and provides access to functionality in an extension" is not disclosed. The reason being that a public interface is a specific technical term well known in the art that does

10/786,772 Art Unit: 2167

not appear to be mentioned in Ali and further in view of the comments directed towards public interface, claim 1 is neither anticipated by, nor obvious in view of the cited references.

In response the examiner respectfully disagrees that the cited references does not disclose a public interface. Murray discloses, col. 7 lines 12-13, An EDF, implemented in XML, contains various tags that are associated with various extensions. Murray discloses col. 7 lines 21-25, EDFs advantageously have an "open schema" which means that third party developers can extend the extension mechanism and include their own extensions by creating their own tags. Murray discloses, col. 7 line 27-29, exemplary predefined XML tags for user interface elements can include tags for feature types such as: tool bars, accelerators, menu items, and themes. Accordingly, a plurality of services (tags), wherein a service includes a public interface that has an implementation (open schema) and provides access to functionality in an extension (feature types such as tool bars, accelerators, menu items and themes) is suggested.

The claims are still broad enough to be suggested by the cited reference. Accordingly, applicant's assertions are unpersuasive over the cited reference.

10/786,772 Art Unit: 2167

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record listed on PTO-892 and not relied, if any, upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael D. Pham whose telephone number is (571)272-3924. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9am - 5:00pm.

10/786,772 Art Unit: 2167

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on 571-272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Pham Art Unit 2167
Examiner

John Cottingham Art Unit 2167 Supervisor

JOHN COTTINGHAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY 2100

Application Number		10786772	
Filing Date		2004-02-25	
First Named Inventor Bunke		iker, Ross	
Art Unit		2167	
Examiner Name	Pham	, Michael	
Attorney Docket Numl	per	BEAS-01437US1	
	Filing Date First Named Inventor Art Unit Examiner Name	Filing Date First Named Inventor Bunke Art Unit	Filing Date 2004-02-25 First Named Inventor Bunker, Ross Art Unit 2167 Examiner Name Pham, Michael

		Remove				
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Patent Number	Kind Code ¹	Issue Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
M.P.	1	7073167		2006-07-04	lwashita	
	2	6721740		2004-04-13	Skinner et al.	
	3	6367068		2002-04-02	Vaidyanathan et al.	
	4	6148336		2000-11-14	Thomas et al.	
	5	7260818		2007-08-21	Iterum et al.	
	6	6662357		2003-12-09	Bowman-Amuah	
	7	6185734		2001-02-06	Saboff et al.	
M.P.	8	7181731		2007-02-20	Pace et al.	

Application Number		10786772		
Filing Date		2004-02-25		
First Named Inventor Bunke		er, Ross		
Art Unit		2167		
Examiner Name	Pham	, Michael		
Attorney Docket Number		BEAS-01437US1		

						T				
μ.	Ρ,	9	7165041		2007-01-16	Guheen et al:				
If you	u wisl	n to ac	dd additional U.S. Pater	nt citatio	n information p	lease click the Add button.		Add		
	U.S.PATENT APPLICATION PUBLICATIONS Remove									
Exar Initia	miner	Cite No	Publication Number	Kind Code ¹	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Releva		Lines where ges or Relevant	
M	,7.	1	20040103406		2004-05-27	Patel				
		2	20030023957		2003-01-30	Bau, III et al.				
		3	20030028364		2003-02-06	Chan et al.				
		4	20020049788		2002-04-25	Lipkin et al.				
		5	20020116454		2002-08-22	Dyla et al.				
		6	20020169644		2002-11-14	Greene .	·	٠		
		7	20020188486		2002-12-12	Gil et al.				
\(\frac{1}{2}\)	\ \g.	8	20030018963		2003-01-23	Ashworth et al.				
		h to a	dd additional U.S. Publi	shed Ap	plication citation	n information please click the Ad	d button	Add		
	,									

Application Number		10786772	
Filing Date		2004-02-25	
First Named Inventor Bunke		er, Ross	
Art Unit		2167	
Examiner Name Pham		, Michael	
Attorney Docket Number		BEAS-01437US1	

			FOREIC	SN PAT	ENT DOCUM	ENTS	Remove			
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Foreign Document Number ³	Country Code ² i	Kind Code4	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T5		
	1	Dem								
If you wis	h to at	d additional Foreign P	etent Document	citation	information pl	ease click the Add buttor				
			NON-PATE	AT LITE	RATURE DO	CUMENTS	Remove			
Examiner Initials*	Examiner Initials* Cite No Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc), date, pages(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.							T5		
n.R.	1	MULLER, "Event-Orient University of Leipzig, Ge	MULLER, "Event-Oriented Dynamic Adaptation of Workflows Model, Architecture, and Implementation," 2002, University of Leipzig, Germany, pp. i-viv, 1-342							
m.8.	2	PELTZ, "Web Service O	PELTZ, "Web Service Orchestration," HP, January 2003, Hewlett Packard, Co., pp. 1-20							
If you wis	h to ac	dd additional non-pater	t literature docui	ment cit	ation informati	on please click the Add b	outton Add			
		1115	/n EX	AMINE	R SIGNATUR	E				
Examiner	Signa	iture Mulian	10. Pelier	<u> </u>		Date Considered	1/14/08			
*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through a citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.										
Standard ST	See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.USPTO.GOV or MPEP 901.04. ² Enter office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ³ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁴ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. ⁵ Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language translation is attached.									

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

10786772 Application Number 2004-02-25 Filing Date INFORMATION DISCLOSURE First Named Inventor Bunker, Ross STATEMENT BY APPLICANT 2167 Art Unit

Attorney Docket Number

(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99) Pham, Michael **Examiner Name** BEAS-01437US1

	U.S.PATENTS Remove								
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Patent Number	Kind Code ¹	Issue Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear			
N.R.	1	5944794		1999-08-31	Okamoto et al.				
	2	6636491		2003-10-21	Kari et al.				
	.3	5469562		1995-11-21	Saether				
	4	6016495		2000-01-18	McKeehan et al.				
	5	6334114		2001-12-25	Jacobs et al.				
	6	7143186		2006-11-28	Stewart et al.				
	7	6823495		2004-11-23	Vedula et al.				
W.S.	8	7096422		2006-08-22	Rothschiller et al.				

Application Number		10786772		
Filing Date		2004-02-25		
First Named Inventor Bunke		er, Ross		
Art Unit		2167		
Examiner Name Pham		, Michael		
Attorney Docket Number		BEAS-01437US1		

m.?	20	6799718	2004-10-05	Chan et al.	
W.,	20	6/99/18	2004-10-03	Chair et al.	
	21	6836883	2004-12-28	Abrams et al.	
	22	6684388	2004-01-27	Gupta et al.	
	23	6311327	2001-10-30	O'Brien et al.	
	24	7000219	2001-10-30	Barrett et al.	
	25	7146422	2006-12-05	Marlatt et al.	
	26	7155705	2006-12-26	Hershberg et al.	
	27	7260599	2007-08-21	Bauch et al.	
	28	6922827	2005-07-26	Vasilik et al.	
N.P	29	6859180	2005-02-22	Rivera	
If you w	ish to a			please click the Add button.	Add Remove
			U.S.PATENT APPL	ICATION PUBLICATIONS	hemove

Application Number		10786772		
Filing Date		2004-02-25		
First Named Inventor	Bunke	er, Ross		
Art Unit		2167		
Examiner Name	Pham	, Michael		
Attorney Docket Number		BEAS-01437US1		

Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Publication Number	Kind Code ¹	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
P.N.	1	20020143960		2002-10-03	Goren et al.	
	2	20030046591		2003-03-06	Asghari-Kamrani et al.	
	3	20030079029		2003-04-24	Garimella et al.	
	4	20060234678		2006-10-19	Juitt et al.	
	5	20020073396		2002-06-13	Crupi et al.	
	6	20030055878		2003-03-20	Fletcher et al.	
	7	20030051066		2003-03-13	Pace et al.	
	8	20030055868		2003-03-20	Fletcher et al.	
J	9	20030074217		2003-04-17	Beisiegel et al.	
M.8.	10	20030018661		2003-01-23	Darugar	

Application Number		10786772	
Filing Date		2004-02-25	
First Named Inventor Bunke		er, Ross	
Art Unit		2167	
Examiner Name Pham		n, Michael	
Attorney Docket Number		BEAS-01437US1	

ws.	11	20050050068	2005-03-03	Vaschillo et al:	·
	12	20020161826	2002-10-31	Arteaga et al.	
	13	20020174178	2002-11-21	Stawikowski	
	14	20030028579	2003-02-06	Kulkarni et al.	
	15	20070038500	2007-02-15	Hammitt et al.	
	16	20040078373	2004-04-22	Ghoneimy et al.	
	17	20020194244	2002-12-19	Raventos	
	18	20020111922	2002-08-15	Young et al.	
	19	20040040011	2004-02-26	Bosworth et al.	
V	20	20020073236	2002-06-13	Helgeson et al.	
N.R.	21	20030018832	2003-01-23	Amirisetty et al.	

Application Number		10786772	
Filing Date		2004-02-25	
First Named Inventor Bunke		er, Ross	
Art Unit		2167	
Examiner Name Pham		, Michael	
Attorney Docket Number		BEAS-01437US1	

M3.	9	6950872	2005-09-27	Todd, II·······	
	10	6360358	2002-03-19	Elsbree et al.	
	11	6615258	2003-09-02	Barry et al.	
	12	6609115	2003-08-19	Mehring et al.	
	13	6584454	2003-06-24	Hummel et al.	
	14	6516322	2003-02-04	Meredith	
	15	6754884	2004-06-22	Lucas et al.	
	16	6971096	2005-11-29	Ankireddipally et al.	
	17	7043722	2006-05-09	Bau, III	
V	18	7184967	2007-02-27	Mital et al.	
M. ?.	19	7240331	2007-07-03	Vion-Dury et al.	·

Application Number		10786772	
Filing Date		2004-02-25	
First Named Inventor Bunks		er, Ross	
Art Unit		2167	
Examiner Name Pham		, Michael	
Attorney Docket Number		BEAS-01437US1	

If you wish to add additional U.S. Published Application citation information please click the Add button. Add								
FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS Remove								
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Foreign Document Number ³	Country Code2 i	Kind Code4	Publication Date	Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	T5
	1	99/23558	wo		1999-05-14	Oracle Corporation	No copy provided	
If you wis	h to ac	dd additional Foreign P				ease click the Add butto	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			NON-PATE	NT LITE	RATURE DO	CUMENTS	Remove	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No	Include name of the a (book, magazine, jour publisher, city and/or	nal, serial, symp	osium,	catalog, etc), o	the article (when approp date, pages(s), volume-is	riate), title of the item ssue number(s),	T 5
MP.	1	MOHAN, C. et al., "ARIES: a transaction recovery method supporting fine-granularity locking and partial rollbacks using write-ahead logging," ACM Transactions on Database Systems (TODS), Volume 17, Issue 1 (March 1992), pages 94-162						
	2	BEA, Transforming Data Using Xquery Mapper, 2006, BEA AquaLogic Service Bus 2.0 Documentation, pgs. 1-19						
	3	Stylus Studio, Xquery Mapper, 2007, Stylus Studios, pgs 1-6						
	4	Altova, XML-to-XML Mapping, 2007, Altova, pgs 1-3						
	5	Jamper, Jamper-Java XML Mapper, 2007, Sourceforge, pgs 1-4						
M.P.	6	ALONSO, G. et al., "Advanced Transaction Models in Workflow Contexts," February 1996, Proceedings of 12th International Conference on Data Engineering, retrieved from http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/alonso96advanced.html, 8 pages						

Application Number		10786772	
Filing Date		2004-02-25	
First Named Inventor Bunke		er, Ross	
Art Unit		2167	
Examiner Name Pham		, Michael	
Attorney Docket Number		BEAS-01437US1	

			·							
M.	VAN DER AALST, W.M.P. et al., "XML Based Schema Definition for Support of Inter-Organizational Workflow," 2000, University of Colorado and University of Eindhoven report, retrieved from http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/vanderaalst00xml html, pp. 1-39									
		8	PLAINDOUX, DIDIER, "XML Transducers in Java," May 2002, The Eleventh International World Wide Web Conference, retrieved from http://www.2002.org/CDROM/poster/132/index.html, pp. 1-6							
	/	9	Microsoft, "Microsoft net Framework," 2001, Microsoft Corporation, pp. 1-50							
m.	₹.	10	WILLINK, EDWARD D., "Meta-Compilation for C++," Jan. 2000, University of Surrey, p. 362							
If you	wis	h to a	add additional non-patent literature document citation information please click the Add button Add							
_			EXAMINER SIGNATURE							
Exam	iner	Signa	ature Date Considered 1/14/08							
*EXA	MIN on if	ER: Ir	nitial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through a conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.							
Standa 4 Kind	of do	Γ.3). ³ I cument	of USPTO Patent Documents at <u>www.USPTO.GOV</u> or MPEP 901.04. ² Enter office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent dot by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. ⁵ Applicant is to place a check materials attached.	cument.						

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 10/786,772 Examiner Michael D. Pham Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination BUNKER ET AL. Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-7,058,940	06-2006	Calahan, Patrick	717/167
	В	US-			
	С	US-			
	D	US-			
	Ε	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	н	US-			
	1	US-			
	٦	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	s					·
	Т	·				

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	v	
	w	
	x	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.